

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 4/10/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts, on page 2 of the remarks, that in Lopez (US 7,142,318) the proof sheet must be prepared and printed before the scanning process occurs and that in Mitsubori (US 2002/0114002) the web page is printed after the scanning process occurs. The examiner respectfully disagrees as Mitsubori shows a web page containing URL text on the bottom of a recording medium that a web page has been printed to. The web page is then scanned into the system and OCR is performed to read the URL and perform processing steps based on the URL extracted from the scanned web page (see Fig. 4 and paragraphs 105-107). Therefore, the web page described in Mitsubori is printed before the scanning process occurs, which is similar to the process performed by Lopez.

The applicant also asserts, on pages 3-4 of the remarks, that Lopez and Mitsubori are not combinable because Lopez only prints certain images from a web page and would deviate from the intended purpose if combined with Mitsubori, as Mitsubori prints an entire web page including a URL. The examiner respectfully disagrees as Lopez describes a scenario in which it is possible for the web page to be accessed and extracted only contains an image. In this scenario, the entire web page

would be printed by Lopez and therefore would be combinable with the system of Mitsubori as both references would print an entire web page and provide access data to be used upon scanning of the printed web page (see column 10 line 28-column 11 line 33). Further, Lopez also states that a user sets the filter criteria for what type, size, etc. of data is to be extracted from the accessed web page and therefore it is possible for a user to select criteria that would allow all the images on a web page to be selected for extraction and thereby print the entire webpage (see Fig. 4 and column 8 lines 34-44).

Therefore, the rejection of claims 1-21 and 30-31 is maintained.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark R. Milia whose telephone number is (571)272-7408. The examiner can normally be reached M-F 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached at (571) 272-7437. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark R. Milia
Examiner
Art Unit 2625

/Mark R. Milia/
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/Mark K Zimmerman/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625